1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	JON MILLER, et al.,	CASE NO. C09-1425JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS
12	V.	TO DISMISS
13	MEDIA SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	This matter comes before the court on F	Plaintiff Tim Fuiita-Yuhas's motion to
16		
17	dismiss without prejudice his claims against Defendants Adam Cohen and Jennifer Sultar	
18	(Dkt. # 93). No party has filed an opposition to Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's motion. Having	
19	considered Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's motion, the balance of the record, and the governing law,	
20	the court GRANTS Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 93).	
21	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) provides that, after the opposing party	
22	has served either an answer or a motion for sur	mmary judgment, "an action may be
	d .	

dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). "A district court should grant a motion for voluntary 3 dismissal under Rule 41(a)(2) unless a defendant can show that it will suffer some plain 4 legal prejudice as a result." Smith v. Lenches, 263 F.3d 972, 975 (9th Cir. 2001). The 5 dismissal of claims against a debtor does not violate the automatic bankruptcy stay 6 because it does not constitute a "continuation" of a judicial proceeding against a debtor under 11 U.S.C. § 362(a). O'Donnell v. Vencor Inc., 466 F.3d 1104, 1110 (9th Cir. 8 2006). Dismissals pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) are without prejudice unless the order states 9 otherwise. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). 10 Here, no party has opposed Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's motion, and the court has 11 identified no plain legal prejudice that would result from Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's dismissal of 12 his claims against Mr. Cohen and Ms. Sultan. In light of the Ninth Circuit's recognition 13 that a dismissal does not violate the automatic stay provision of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a), see

O'Donnell, 466 F.3d at 1110, the court GRANTS Mr. Fujita-Yuhas's motion to dismiss

m R. Pl. X

United States District Judge

JAMES L. ROBART

without prejudice his claims against Mr. Cohen and Ms. Sultan (Dkt. #93).

Dated this 10th day of June, 2011.

17

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22